arXiv:1609.02303v1 [math.AG] 8 Sep 2016

Divisorial extractions from singular curves in a smooth 3-fold, II: low codimension.

Tom Ducat

RIMS, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan.
taducat@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

September 9, 2016

Abstract

Following the first paper, we continue to study Mori extractions from singular curves centred in a smooth 3-fold. We treat the case where the divisorial extraction exists in relative codimension at most 3.

Introduction

The focus of this paper is on the classification of Mori extractions—that is 3-dimensional divisorial extractions over $\mathbb{C}$ with at worst terminal singularities. The first paper [2] introduced a method to construct the coordinate ring of a divisorial extraction from $C \subset X$, a singular curve C contained in a smooth 3-fold X, using type I Gorenstein unprojection. This divisorial extraction is the unique Mori extraction from C, if such an extraction exists, and is isomorphic to the blowup of the *symbolic power algebra*

$$\sigma\colon Y \cong \operatorname{Proj}_X \bigoplus I_{C/X}^{[n]} \to X$$

where $I_{C/X}$ is the ideal defining $C \subset X$ (see [2] Proposition 1.4). Thus, if $\bigoplus I_{C/X}^{[n]}$ is generated by $r+1$ generators, we get a presentation of Y as a codimension r subvariety $Y \subset X \times w\mathbb{P}^r$ for some weighted projective space $w\mathbb{P}^r$ of dimension r.

This paper studies the cases for which a Mori extraction exists in codimension ≤ 3. It may be unreasonable to expect an explicit classification in the completely general case. In fact we know that the divisorial extractions can have arbitrarily high codimension – see for example [3] §6.3 where one such family of examples is constructed by serial unprojection. Moreover, in general it seems hard to find an exact condition on $C \subset X$ for which the divisorial extraction has at worst terminal singularities.

Nevertheless, the hope is that this list will find useful applications in studying the explicit birational geometry of 3-folds, e.g. in the Sarkisov program. For instance, Prokhorov & Reid [7] used a Sarkisov link beginning with the simplest Mori extraction

in Table 2 (type $\boldsymbol{A}_1^1$) to construct a new $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-fold of index 2, and more complicated divisorial extractions can be used to construct other interesting examples [4].

0.1 Main result

The aim of the paper is to prove the following result:

Theorem 0.1. *Suppose $P \in C \subset S_X \subset X$ is an inclusion of varieties, as in §1, and suppose $\sigma\colon Y \subset X \times w\mathbb{P}^r \to X$ is a codimension r Mori extraction from $C \subset X$ constructed by unprojection. Then:*

1. *If $r \le 2$ then $C \subset X$ is a l.c.i. and Y is the ordinary blowup of C. The possible numerical types for $C \subset S_X$ are given in Table 1, along with the curves extracted by $\sigma|_{S_Y}$. Moreover, every case in the table has a Mori extraction.*
2. *If $r = 3$ then $w\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ and Y has a singularity of index 2. The possible numerical types for $C \subset S_X$ are given in Table 2, along with the curves extracted by $\sigma|_{S_Y}$.*

(We do not claim that every case appearing in Table 2 has a Mori extraction.)

0.2 Notation and conventions

Resolutions of Du Val singularities. We fix the following numbering of the ADE Dynkin diagrams:



Given the minimal resolution of a Du Val singularity $\mu\colon (E \subset \widetilde{S}) \to (P \in S)$ we write E_i for the exceptional divisor corresponding to the ith vertex in the Dynkin diagram, $\widetilde{C}_i \subset \widetilde{S}$ for a smooth curve transverse to E_i and $C_i = \mu(\widetilde{C}_i) \subset S$.

Numerical types. Given $C \subset S$, the *numerical type of* C is $C \equiv_{\text{num}} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i C_i$ where $\widetilde{C} \equiv_{\text{num}} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \widetilde{C}_i$ on $\widetilde{S}$. (Note that $\widetilde{C}$ may intersect E nontransversely.)

The cycle Δ_i. When $P \in S$ is of type D_n we define the numerical type $\Delta_i \subset S$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, by the following formula:

$$\Delta_i = \begin{cases} C_i & 1 \le i \le n-2 \\ C_{n-1} + C_n & i = n-1 \\ 2C_{n-1} \text{ or } 2C_n & i = n \end{cases}$$

At one point (see Table 2) we need to distinguish between the two different cases for Δ_n, but otherwise we treat both cases simultaneously.

0.3 Acknowledgements.

The author is a International Research Fellow of the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science and this work was supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellows, No. 15F15771.

He would also like to thank Miles Reid for reading a preliminary draft of this paper and for his help in improving it.

1 The divisorial extraction

As in [2], we assume the following inclusion of algebraic varieties over $\mathbb{C}$:

$$P \in C \subset S_X \subset X$$

where $P \in X$ is a smooth point in a 3-fold, $P \in S_X$ is a Du Val singularity and C is a curve with a singularity at P. We are interested in the existence of a Mori extraction $\sigma\colon (F \subset Y) \to (C \subset X)$, where F is the exceptional divisor extracted from C. By [2] Proposition 1.4, Y is isomorphic to the blowup of the *symbolic power algebra* of the ideal $I_{C/X}$ defining $C \subset X$.

The general elephant. The existence of the Du Val hypersurface S_X is a consequence of the *general elephant conjecture* which states that, for a Mori extraction (or a Mori flipping contraction) $\sigma\colon Y \to X$, a general member $S_Y \in |-K_Y|$ and $S_X := \sigma(S_Y) \in |-K_X|$ should both have at worst Du Val singularities. Then, by adjunction, the restriction $\sigma|_{S_Y}\colon S_Y \to S_X$ is a partial crepant resolution.

Unlike [2], we *do not* assume that S_X is a general hypersurface section containing C. Part of the information given in the description of a Mori extraction is which curves (if any) are extracted from $P \in S_X$ by $\sigma|_{S_Y}$.

1.1 Constructing the divisorial extraction

We briefly recall the method explained in [2] §2.4 to construct Y.

A normal form for C. If $C \subset S_X$ is not a local complete intersection (l.c.i.) then by [2] Lemma 2.1 we can write the equations of C as the minors of a 2×3 matrix M:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} \phi & -b \\ & a \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

where ϕ is a 2×2 matrix such that $\det\phi$ is the equation of the Du Val singularity $P \in S_X$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ are some functions on X. After a change of variables we can take ϕ to be one of the following matrices:

$$\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}\colon \begin{pmatrix} x & y^i \\ y^{n-i} & z \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{D}^l_n\colon \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2+z^{n-2} \\ z & x \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k}\colon \begin{pmatrix} x & yz+z^k \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k+1}\colon \begin{pmatrix} x & yz \\ y & x+z^k \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{E}_6\colon \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2 \\ y & x+z^2 \end{pmatrix} \quad \boldsymbol{E}_7\colon \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2+z^3 \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$$

(E_8 does not appear in this list as it is factorial and has no such nontrival matrix factorisation.) We can use row and column operations to ensure that $b = b(y,z)$ and $a = a(y,z)$ (or $a = a(x,y)$ in case $\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$).

We write $I := I(M)$ for the ideal generated by the entries of M and $I(\phi)$ for the ideal generated by those of ϕ. Clearly $I(\phi) \subseteq I$ and it is also clear that $C \subset X$ is a l.c.i. if (and only if) $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{m}_P$ (i.e. at least one of $a, b \notin \mathfrak{m}_P$).

The blowup of C. We can write down the (ordinary) blowup of $C \subset X$ as a complete intersection of codimension 2, with equations given by the two syzygies coming from Cramer's rule:

$$\sigma_0 \colon Y_0 \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^2_{(\nu:\xi:\eta)} \to X \qquad \begin{pmatrix} \phi & -b \\ & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ -\xi \\ \eta \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{1.2}$$

In particular η corresponds to the equation $\det \phi$ defining S_X. If $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_P$ the exceptional divisor of this blowup has two components $F_0 \cup D_0$, where F_0 is a reduced divisor dominating C and $D_0 = V(I)$ is a (not necessarily reduced) codimension 1 subscheme dominating $P \in X$.

Definition 1.1. The *width of* D_0 is defined to be $w(D_0) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x,y,z]/I$.

Since $I(\phi) \subseteq I$, the width of D_0 is bounded by $w_\phi := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x,y,z]/I(\phi)$. In the different cases this bound is given by:

Type	$\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$	$\boldsymbol{D}^l_n$	$\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k}$	$\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k+1}$	$\boldsymbol{E}_6$	$\boldsymbol{E}_7$
$I(\phi)$	(x, y^i, z)	(x, y^2, z)	(x, y, z^k)	(x, y, z^k)	(x, y, z^2)	(x, y, z^3)
w_ϕ	i	2	k	k	2	3

In particular $D_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \times \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^{w(D_0)})$ is the largest possible subscheme contained in Y_0 supported on $P \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (c.f. [6] Definition 5.3).

Unprojecting D_0. Since Y_0 and D_0 are both complete intersections in $X \times \mathbb{P}^2$ (and hence Gorenstein) we can use the unprojection theorem ([5] Theorem 1.5) to unproject $D_0 \subset Y_0$. In this simple case we can obtain the unprojection variable ζ by rewriting (1.2) as a 2×3 matrix annihilating I and applying [8] Example 4.16. By construction ζ satisfies $\zeta I \subseteq I^2_{C/X}$ so ζ is naturally an element of the second symbolic power $\zeta \in I^{[2]}_{C/X}$. Hence unprojection gives us a Gorenstein variety $Y_1 \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ in codimension 3 with a birational map

$$u \colon (D_0 \subset Y_0) \dashrightarrow (Q \in Y_1)$$

Y_1 is defined by five equations and so, by a theorem of Buchsbaum and Eisenbud, we can (and will) write the equations as the maximal Pfaffians of a 5×5 skew matrix. This is also the way the equations are presented in [8] Example 4.1.

Unprojection is a type of birational surgery that blows up D_0 to a Cartier divisor $\widetilde{D_0}$ and contracts the birational transform. In the nicest case Y_0 has only isolated ordinary nodal singularities at the points where D_0 fails to be Cartier and blowing up D_0 makes a small resolution of these nodes. However unprojection can be more complicated in practice. For example, Y_0 may contain a line of ordinary nodes (or a line of transverse A_n singularities) along D_0, and then unprojection introduces a new divisor $D_1 \subset Y_1$ above D_0. This can lead to a chain of *serial unprojections*. One family of divisorial extractions given an arbitrarily long sequence of serial unprojections is constructed in [3] §6.3.

2 Codimension ≤ 2 cases

We first treat the cases in which a Mori extraction $\sigma\colon Y \to X$ exists in codim ≤ 2. In all of these cases $C \subset X$ is a l.c.i. and then, by a theorem of Cutkosky [1] (see also [2], Lemma 1.6), it is known that a necessary and sufficient condition for C to have a Mori extraction is that C is contained in a smooth hypersurface. Using this condition we give a classification of the possible numerical types for C and we also classify which curve (if any) is extracted from S_X.

2.1 Summary

We summarise all the cases in Table 1. The table lists the ADE type of $P \in S_X$, the format for $C \subset S_X$, which exceptional curves (if any) are extracted by $\sigma|_{S_Y}\colon S_Y \to S_X$ and the possible numerical types of C.

Each case appears in the table up to a symmetry of the corresponding Dynkin diagram, e.g. for the $\boldsymbol{E}_6$ case the divisorial extraction from C_5 (with $\operatorname{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = E_1$) also includes the divisorial extraction from C_1 (with $\operatorname{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = E_5$).

Since they are contained in a smooth hypersurface section, all the cases in the table have a Mori extraction, even the degenerate cases in which $\widetilde{C} \cap E$ is nontransverse.

2.2 Proof of the classification

The proof follows from explicit calculations. First note that one of the following occurs:

(i) $C \subset S_X$ is a l.c.i., in which case $S_Y \cong S_X$.

We write $C = V(f, g) \subset X$ where $S_X = V(f)$ and g is the equation of a smooth hypersurface. The Mori extraction from C is given by the codimension 1 model:

$$Y = V(f\xi - g\eta) \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\eta)}.$$

$S_Y = V(\eta) \subset Y$ meets the central fibre $Z = P \times \mathbb{P}^1$ at the point P_ξ, where all variables apart from ξ vanish, and $P_\xi \in S_Y$ is a singularity with equation $f = 0$. So $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ is an isomorphism $S_Y \cong S_X$.

Table 1: Curves with codimension ≤ 2 Mori extraction.

Type	Format	$\operatorname{exc}(\sigma\|_{S_Y})$	Numerical types
A_{n-1}	l.c.i.	$\emptyset$	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\alpha_j C_j : \sum_j j\alpha_j = n$ or $\sum_j (n-j)\alpha_j = n$
	$\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$	E_{n-i}	$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\alpha_j C_j : \sum_j j\alpha_j = i$ or $\sum_j (n-j)\alpha_j = n-i$
D_n	l.c.i.	$\emptyset$	Δ_{2i} $(\forall i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$, $C_1 + \Delta_{2i-1}$ $(\forall i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor)$
	$\boldsymbol{D}^l_n$	E_1	Δ_{2i-1} $(\forall i \leq \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor)$
	$\boldsymbol{D}^r_n$	E_n	C_n, $C_1 + C_{n-1}$
E_6	l.c.i.	$\emptyset$	C_6, $C_1 + C_5$, C_3, $C_1 + C_2$, $3C_1$, $C_4 + C_5$, $3C_5$
	$\boldsymbol{E}_6$	E_1	C_5, C_2, $2C_1$
E_7	l.c.i.	$\emptyset$	C_1, C_5, $2C_6$, C_2, $C_6 + C_7$
	$\boldsymbol{E}_7$	E_6	C_6, C_7
E_8	l.c.i.	$\emptyset$	C_7, C_1, C_6, C_8

Note: The cycles Δ_j appearing in the D_n cases are defined in §0.2.

We can now classify the possible numerical types for C by writing down a minimal resolution $\mu\colon (E \subset \widetilde{S}_X) \to (P \in S_X)$ and calculating $\widetilde{C} \cap E$, for all C subject to the condition that $g \notin \mathfrak{m}_P^2$. (See §2.2.2 for an example of the kind of necessary calculation.)

(ii) $C \subset S_X$ is not a l.c.i., in which case $S_Y \ncong S_X$.

We use (1.1) to write the equations of $C \subset X$ and (1.2) to write the equations of $Y = Y_0$. Of the two terms a, b appearing in the format (1.2) we must have at least one of $a, b \notin \mathfrak{m}_P$, else Y contains an unprojection divisor. The central fibre of σ is $Z = P \times \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\nu)}$ and $Z \subset S_Y = V(\eta)$. Hence $\operatorname{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) \neq \emptyset$ and $S_Y \ncong S_X$.

We can now check in each of the cases which curve is extracted from $P \in S_X$ and which numerical types are possible for C by explicitly calculating $\widetilde{C} \subset \widetilde{S}_X$, subject to condition that at least one of $a, b \notin \mathfrak{m}_P$.

2.2.1 A_{n-1} cases

In the A_{n-1} case we can consider S_X as the $\frac{1}{n}(1, n-1)$ cyclic quotient singularity

$$\pi\colon \mathbb{C}^2_{u,v} \to \mathbb{C}^2/\boldsymbol{\mu}_n =: S_X$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_n = \langle \varepsilon : \varepsilon^n = 1 \rangle$, the cyclic group of the nth roots of unity, acts on $\mathbb{C}^2$ by $(u, v) \mapsto (\varepsilon u, \varepsilon^{n-1} v)$. We write $x, y, z = u^n, uv, v^n$ for the invariants of this action which satisfy the relation $xz = y^r$. We can pull back any curve $C \subset S_X$ to an invariant curve

$\Gamma := \pi^{-1}(C) \subset \mathbb{C}^2_{u,v}$ given by a semi-invariant *orbifold equation* $\Gamma = V\big(\gamma(u,v)\big)$. If $C \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j C_j$ then γ factors (analytically) as a product

$$\gamma(u,v) = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j(u^j, v^{n-j})$$

where $\gamma_j(U,V) \in \mathbb{C}[[x,y,z]][U,V]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree α_j whose roots correspond to the intersection points of $\widetilde{C} \cap E_j$ counted with multiplicity.

By the normal form (1.1), the equations of $C \subset X$ are given by the following format for some i:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y^i & -b \\ y^{n-i} & z & a \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

In this case the orbifold equation is given by $\gamma(u,v) = au^i + bv^{n-i}$ and the equations $ax + by^{n-i}$, $ay^i + bz$ are given by rendering the invariants $u^{n-i}\gamma$, $v^i\gamma$ in terms of x, y, z.

If a_0, b_0 are the constant terms of a, b, then the condition that C is contained in a smooth hypersurface is equivalent to asking that at least one of $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$. But a_0 is the coefficient of u^i in γ so, by the product expression above, $a_0 \neq 0$ if and only if $i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j \deg \gamma_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} j\alpha_j$. Similarly $b_0 \neq 0$ if and only if $n - i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}(n-j)\alpha_j$.

2.2.2 Example calculation

We explain the $\boldsymbol{E}_6$ case as an example of the calculations that can be used to verify the other type D and E cases appearing Table 1.

The equations of C. Using the normal form (1.1) in the $\boldsymbol{E}_6$ case we write the equations of $C \subset X$ as the 2×3 minors of the matrix:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2 & -b \\ y & x + z^2 & a \end{pmatrix}$$

for some choice of $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[y,z]$. As before we write a_0, b_0 for the constant terms of a, b. Note that C is contained in a smooth hypersurface if and only if at least one of $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$.

Explicit resolution of $P \in S_X$ We resolve $S_X = V\big(x(x+z^2) - y^3\big)$ as follows. Let b_y, b_z be the two following coordinate changes:

$$b_y \colon (x,y,z) \mapsto (xy, y, yz), \quad b_z \colon (x,y,z) \mapsto (xz, yz, z).$$

Then the minimal resolution $\mu \colon (E \subset \widetilde{S}_X) \to (P \in S_X)$ can be covered by the five following affine charts:



For example, we reach the chart (4) by the change of coordinates

$$\mu_4 \colon (x, y, z) \mapsto (xy^2z^4, y^2z^3, yz^2).$$

In this chart $\widetilde{S}_X = V\big(x(x+1)-y^2z\big)$ is a smooth surface and (up to a choice of relabelling) the exceptional curves are given by

$$E_1 = V(x, y), \quad E_2 = V(x, z), \quad E_4 = V(x + 1, z), \quad E_5 = V(x + 1, y).$$

Description of $C \subset S_X$. By calculating $\widetilde{C} \subset \widetilde{S}_X$ we see that there are exactly three numerical types for curves satisfying the condition that one of $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$. We do the computation in chart (4) of the resolution given above and leave the rest of the calculation to the reader. In fact, in each of these three cases $\widetilde{C} \cap E = \emptyset$ outside of chart (4) (apart from possibly the point at ∞ on either E_1 or E_5 contained in chart (5)).

(i) First assume $b_0 \neq 0$. Then in chart (4) $\widetilde{C}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = V\big(a'xz + b',\ x(x + 1) - y^2z\big)$$

(where $a' = \mu_4(a)$ and $b' = \mu_4(b)$). Since $b_0 \neq 0$, $\widetilde{C} \cap E_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$ and $\widetilde{C}$ intersects E_5 at one point transversely at the point $x = y = a_0z - b_0 = 0$ (if $a_0 = 0$ then this intersection point is the point at ∞ in chart (5)). Hence $C \equiv C_5$.

(ii) If $b_0 = 0$ then we replace b by $by + cz$ and now we assume $a_0, c_0 \neq 0$. Then in chart (4) $\widetilde{C}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = V\big(a'y + b'(x + 1)yz + c'(x + 1),\ a'x + b'y^2z^2 + c'yz\big)$$

$\widetilde{C}$ intersects E_2 transversely at the point $x = z = a_0y + c_0 = 0$. Moreover, if $c_0 \neq 0$ then $\widetilde{C}$ does not intersect E_1 and if $a_0 \neq 0$ then $\widetilde{C}$ does not intersect E_i for $i = 3, 4, 5, 6$. Hence $C \equiv C_2$.

(iii) Lastly we assume that $c_0 = 0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$ in case (ii), so we replace $by + cz$ by $by + cz^2$. Then in chart (4) $\widetilde{C}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{C} = V\big(a' + b'(x + 1)z + c'(x + 1)z^2,\ x(x + 1) - y^2z\big)$$

$\widetilde{C}$ intersects E_1 twice according to the roots of $c_0z^2+b_0z+a_0=0$ (again, if $c_0, b_0=0$ then these points may be the point at ∞ in chart (5)). Moreover, if $a_0\neq 0$ then $\widetilde{C}$ does not intersect any other component of E, so $C\equiv 2C_1$.

In all other cases C is not contained in a smooth hypersurface and Y_0 contains an unprojection plane.

The general elephant S_Y. The last thing to check is that $\operatorname{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y})=E_1$ as claimed. By (1.2) we write $Y=Y_0\subset X\times\mathbb{P}^2_{(\xi:\nu:\eta)}$ as a complete intersection:

$$Y=V\big(y^2\xi-x\nu+b\eta,\ y\nu-(x+z^2)\xi+a\eta\big)$$

with central fibre $Z=\mathbb{P}^1_{\xi:\nu}\subset S_Y$. Therefore exactly one curve is extracted from $P\in S_X$.

It follows directly from these equations that S_Y is smooth apart from at the point P_ξ, where all variables except ξ vanish. At P_ξ we can eliminate x by the equation $x=y\nu-z^2$ to be left with the D_5 singularity

$$V(y^2-y\nu^2+\nu z^2)\subset\mathbb{C}^3_{y,z,\nu}.$$

Hence $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ extracts either E_5 or E_1 from $P\in S_X$ and the extracted curve is independent of the choice of a,b. To see which one it is we can consider $C'=(\sigma|_{S_Y})^{-1}_*C$, the birational transform of C under $\sigma|_{S_Y}$. For example take case (iii) above, we see that C' is the complete intersection of S_Y and $y=a+b\nu+c\nu^2$, so that C' intersects Z twice according to the two roots of $a_0+b_0\nu+c_0\nu^2=0$. Therefore it is E_1 that is extracted.

3 Codimension 3 cases

Now we treat the cases in which a Mori extraction $\sigma\colon Y\to X$ exists in codimension 3. As described in §1.1, such an extraction has a model

$$\sigma\colon Y:=Y_1\subset X\times\mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)\to X$$

where Y_1 is the unprojection of $D_0\subset Y_0$. We consider each format $\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1},\dots,\boldsymbol{E}_7$ in turn and split into subcases depending on $w(D_0)$, the width of the unprojection divisor $D_0\subset Y_0$ appearing in the construction of Y.

Using the equations of Y we give conditions for the reduced central fibre $Z=\sigma^{-1}(P)_{\mathrm{red}}$ to be small (i.e. purely 1-dimensional) and conditions for Y to have isolated singularities. Then we use these conditions to give a classification of the possible numerical types for $C\subset S_X$. We also check directly which curves, if any, are extracted by $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ from $P\in S_X$.

We are not claiming at present that every case in the list gives rise to a Mori extraction. A full analysis of the singularities appearing in each case would be very involved, and we would have to consider a lot of exceptional cases in which $\widetilde{C}$ degenerates to a curve intersecting E nontransversely. However, once we establish that $\sigma|_{S_Y}\colon S_Y\to S_X$ is a partial crepant resolution of a Du Val singularity then the only non-terminal singularities of Y must have centre in $Y\setminus S_Y$, so it would be enough just to check the singularities in this open set.

3.1 Summary

We summarise all the cases in Table 2. In this table we list the format of $C \subset S_X$, the width $w = w(D_0)$ of the unprojection divisor $D_0 \subset Y_0$, which exceptional curves (if any) are extracted by $\sigma|_{S_Y} : S_Y \to S_X$ and the possible numerical types of C.

As before, each case appears in the table only up to a symmetry of the corresponding Dynkin diagram.

In the $\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$ case the table only contains the generic case for the numerical type of C. For a more detailed description of the possible numerical types see §3.2.1.

Table 2: Curves with codimension 3 Mori extraction.

Format	$w(D_0)$	$\mathrm{exc}(\sigma\|_{S_Y})$	Numerical types
$\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$	$w < i$	$E_{n-i-w} + E_{n-i+w}$	generically $C_w + C_i + C_{n-w}$
	i $(n > 2i)$	E_{n-2i}	generically $2C_i + C_{n-i}$
	i $(n = 2i)$	$\emptyset$	generically $3C_i$
$\boldsymbol{D}^l_n$	1	E_2	$C_1 + \Delta_{2i}$ $(\forall i \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor)$
	2	$\emptyset$	$2C_1 + \Delta_{2i-1}$ $(\forall i \le \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor)$
$\boldsymbol{D}^r_n$	$w \le \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$	E_{n-2w} ($\emptyset$ if $n = 2w$)	$C_n + \Delta_{2w}$ $(*)$, $C_1 + C_n + \Delta_{2w-1}$, $C_{n-1} + \Delta_{2w+1}$ $(**)$
$\boldsymbol{E}_6$	1	E_2	$C_5 + C_6$, $C_1 + C_4$
	2	E_5	$C_1 + 2C_5$, $C_3 + C_5$, $2C_4$
$\boldsymbol{E}_7$	1	E_5	$C_1 + C_6$, C_4
	2	E_1	$C_5 + C_6$, $C_2 + C_6$
	3	$\emptyset$	$3C_6$, $2C_6 + C_7$

Note: There are two exceptions in the $\boldsymbol{D}^r_n$ case:

$(*)$ $C_n + \Delta_{2w}$ has $w(D_0) = w$ *unless* $2w = n$, in which case $3C_n$ has $w(D_0) = w$ and $2C_{n-1} + C_n$ has $w(D_0) = w - 1$.

$(**)$ $C_{n-1} + \Delta_{2w+1}$ has width $w(D_0) = w$ *unless* $2w + 1 = n$, in which case $3C_{n-1}$ has $w(D_0) = w - 1$ and $C_{n-1} + 2C_n$ has $w(D_0) = w$. (If $w = 2k$ then $2w + 1 > n$ and we ignore this case.)

3.2 Proof of the classification

We now prove the classification by dividing into cases according to the different formats $\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{E}_7$.

3.2.1 Type $\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$

In this section we assume that our curve $C \subset S_X \subset X$ is of type $\boldsymbol{A}^i_{n-1}$. In this case $w(D_0) \leq i$ and we split into the following three subcases:

(i) $w(D_0) < i$,

(ii) $w(D_0) = i$ and $2i < n$,

(iii) $w(D_0) = i$ and $2i = n$.

The equations of C and Y. Our curve $C \subset X$ and the variety $Y \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$, given by the unprojection of $D_0 \subset Y_0$, are defined by the following equations:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y^i & -(cy^w + dz) \\ y^{n-i} & z & ax + by^w \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & \nu & y^{i-w}\xi + c\eta & -d\eta \\ & -a\eta & y^{n-i-w}\nu + b\eta & \xi \\ & & z & y^w \\ & & & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where the five equations defining Y are written as the Pfaffians of a skew matrix, as in [8] Example 4.1. (Only the strict upper diagonal part of the matrix is written). Without loss of generality, we collect terms together so that $a = a(x,y)$, $b = b(y)$, $c = c(y)$ and $d = d(y,z)$. Moreover we can assume that $n \geq 2i$ and that $w = w(D_0)$, so that if $w < i$ then at least one of $b_0, c_0 \neq 0$. Note that $i - w > 0$ in case (i) and $i - w = 0$ in cases (ii), (iii). Similarly, $n - i - w > 0$ in cases (i), (ii) and $n - i - w = 0$ in case (iii).

The general elephant S_Y.

(i) $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\zeta)} \cup \mathbb{P}^1_{(\nu:\zeta)}$ corresponding to E_{n-i+w} and E_{n-i-w} respectively.

Setting $x, y, z, \eta = 0$ in the equations defining Y we see that $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y})$ consists of the two irreducible components $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\zeta)} \cup \mathbb{P}^1_{(\nu:\zeta)}$. The restriction of ξ, ν, ζ to S_X can be written in terms of u, v and γ (the orbinates on S_X introduced in §2.2.1) as follows:

$$\xi = [u^{n-i}\gamma], \quad \nu = [v^i\gamma], \quad \zeta = \frac{\xi\nu}{y^w} = [u^{n-i-w}v^{i-w}\gamma^2]$$

Then coordinates along $\mathbb{P}^1(1,2)_{(\xi:\zeta)}$ are given by the ratio

$$(\xi^2 : \zeta) = (u^{2(n-i)}\gamma^2 : u^{n-i-w}v^{i-w}\gamma^2) = (u^{n-i+w} : v^{i-w})$$

so this component corresponds to the exceptional divisor E_{n-i+w} above $P \in S_X$. Similarly $\mathbb{P}^1(1,2)_{(\nu:\zeta)}$ corresponds to E_{n-i-w}. Indeed, we can also use the equations to see that S_Y is smooth apart from an A_{i-w-1} singularity at P_ξ, an A_{2w-1} singularity at P_ζ and an $A_{n-i-w-1}$ singularity at P_ν, just as expected.

By a similar calculation in the other two cases:

(ii) $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\nu:\zeta)}$ corresponding to E_{n-2i}.

(iii) $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ is an isomorphism.

Small central fibre. In all cases Z is small and has ≤ 3 irreducible components, unless one of the following three conditions hold:

- $b_0 = c_0 = 0$ in case (i): but this contradicts $w = w(D_0)$.
- $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ in either case (i) or (ii): then Z contains a new unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \xi)$.
- $c_0 = d_0 = 0$ in case (i) or $b_0 = c_0 = d_0 = 0$ in case (ii): then Z contains a new unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \nu)$.

In particular, in case (iii) Z is always small.

Isolated singularities. In all of these cases the index 2 point $Q \in Y$ is a (hyper)quotient singularity:

$$\left(\xi\nu = y^w + ad\eta^2\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^4_{\xi,\nu,\eta,y} \,/\, \tfrac{1}{2}(1,1,1,0)$$

If $w = 1$ then this is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularity and Y must have isolated singularities. A necessary condition for Y to be terminal in this case is that not all of $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0 = 0$, else $Z = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\eta:\zeta)}$ and Y has an index 1 singularity at P_η with embedding dimension ≥ 5, as in [2] Lemma 2.3.

If $w > 1$ then this is a $cA/2$ singularity, although possibly not isolated. Indeed if both $a_0, d_0 = 0$ then Z contains $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\eta:\zeta)}$ as a component and Y is singular along this line.

Description of $C \subset S_X$. We summarise the necessary conditions for Y to be the Mori extraction from C in each of the three cases (i)–(iii).

(i) At least one of $a_0, b_0 \neq 0$, at least one of $b_0, c_0 \neq 0$, at least one of $c_0, d_0 \neq 0$ and, if $w > 1$, at least one of $a_0, d_0 \neq 0$.

(ii) At least one of $a_0, b_0, c_0 \neq 0$, at least one of $c_0, d_0 \neq 0$ and, if $w > 1$, at least one of $a_0, d_0 \neq 0$.

(iii) At least one of $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0 \neq 0$ and, if $w > 1$, at least one of $a_0, d_0 \neq 0$.

In the case where a, b, c, d are chosen generically then $C \subset S_X$ is the curve:

$$\text{(i) } C_w + C_i + C_{n-w} \qquad \text{(ii) } 2C_i + C_{n-i} \qquad \text{(iii) } 3C_i$$

For example, in case (i) C is given by the orbifold equation

$$\gamma(u, v) = au^{n+i} + bu^{i+w}v^w + cu^w v^{n-i+w} + dv^{2n-i}$$

which, if all $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0 \neq 0$, has initial term

$$\gamma(u, v) = a_0(u^{n-w} + \tfrac{b_0}{a_0}v^w)(u^i + \tfrac{c_0}{b_0}v^{n-i})(u^w + \tfrac{d_0}{c_0}v^{n-w}) + \cdots$$

3.2.2 Type $\boldsymbol{D}_n^l$

Now we assume that our curve $C \subset S_X \subset X$ is of type $\boldsymbol{D}_n^l$. In this case $w \leq 2$ so we split into the two subcases: (i) $w = 1$, (ii) $w = 2$.

The equations of C and Y. Our curve $C \subset X$ and the variety $Y \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ given by the unprojection of $D \subset Y_0$ are given by the following equations:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2 + z^{n-2} & -(cy^w + dz) \\ z & x & ay^w + bz \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & \nu & y^{2-w}\xi + c\eta & z^{n-3}\xi + d\eta \\ & \xi & a\eta & \nu + b\eta \\ & & -z & y^w \\ & & & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where we choose $w = w(D_0)$.

The general elephant S_Y. In case (i) $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\zeta)}$ corresponding to E_2 and in case (ii) $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ is an isomorphism.

Small central fibre. In case (i) Z is small unless either $a_0 = c_0 = 0$ (which contradicts out choice of $w = w(D_0)$) or $c_0 = d_0 = 0$, in which case Y_1 contains a new unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \nu)$. In case (ii) Z is always small.

Isolated singularities. In case (i) $Q \in Y$ is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularity and Y must have isolated singularities. In case (ii) $Q \in Y$ is the hyperquotient singularity:

$$\left(y^2 + \xi(z^{n-3}\xi + d\eta) = \nu(\nu + b\eta)\right) \subset \mathbb{C}^4_{\xi,\nu,\eta,y} \,/\, \tfrac{1}{2}(1,1,1,0)$$

If both $b_0, d_0 = 0$ then $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\eta:\zeta)} \subseteq Z$ and Y becomes singular along this line.

Description of $C \subset S_X$. Using the restrictions obtained above on the curves for which Y is a Mori extraction, we can now give an explicit description of $C \subset S_X$.

(i) At least one of $a_0, c_0 \neq 0$ and at least one of $b_0, d_0 \neq 0$. Assume for the moment that $d_0 \neq 0$. Resolving the D_n singularity we see that $\widetilde{C}$ intersects $E_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1_{(u_1:v_1)}$ according to $a_0u_1 + c_0v_1 = 0$ and $E_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1_{(u_2:v_2)}$ according to $c_0u_2 + d_0v_2 = 0$. Moreover $\widetilde{C}$ does not meet any other exceptional curve. (Note that by our assumptions both these linear polynomials are nonzero and $\widetilde{C}$ meets the intersection point $E_1 \cap E_2$ when $c_0 = 0$). Hence in this generic case $C \equiv C_1 + C_2$, as represented in the following diagram (where the white node is the curve extracted from S_X):

generic case $d_0 \neq 0$
$C = C_1 + C_2$



If $d_0 = 0$ then necessarily $c_0 \neq 0$, else Z has a 2-dimensional component. We can check that making the replacement

$$dz \mapsto \begin{cases} dz^i & i < \frac{n-1}{2} \\ dz^i & i = \frac{n-1}{2} \\ (dz \pm \sqrt{-1}c)z^{i-1} & i = \frac{n}{2} \end{cases} \quad \text{gives} \quad C = \begin{cases} C_1 + C_{2i} \\ C_1 + C_{n-1} + C_n \\ C_1 + 2C_{n-1} \text{ or } C_1 + 2C_n \end{cases}$$

Moreover these are the only numerical types satisfying $c_0 \neq 0$. Using the cycle Δ_i defined in §0.2 we can write this family of curves as $C_1 + \Delta_{2i}$, for $i \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

(ii) By a similar calculation we see that $C \equiv 3C_1$ if $d_0 \neq 0$, intersecting $E_1 \cong \mathbb{P}^1_{(u_1:v_1)}$ according to the roots of the cubic $a_0u_1^3 + c_0u_1^2v_1 + b_0u_1v_1^2 + d_0v_1^3 = 0$. If $d_0 = 0$ then $b_0 \neq 0$ else Y has non-isolated singularities. Then C can degenerate to any of the curves $2C_1 + \Delta_{2i-1}$, for $i \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$.

3.2.3 Type $\boldsymbol{D}^r_n$

The cases $\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k}$ and $\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k+1}$ turn out to be very similar, even though the formats for ϕ in (1.1) initially look quite different. Therefore we only consider the even case $\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k}$. Both cases are summarised in Table 2.

We assume that our curve $C \subset S_X \subset X$ is of type $\boldsymbol{D}^r_{2k}$. In this case $w \leq k$ and we split into the two subcases: (i) $w < k$, (ii) $w = k$.

The equations of C and Y. Our curve $C \subset X$ and the variety $Y \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ given by the unprojection of $D \subset Y_0$ are given by the following equations:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & yz + z^k & -(cy + dz^w) \\ y & x & ay + bz^w \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & \nu & z\xi + c\eta & z^{k-w}\xi + d\eta \\ & \xi & \nu + a\eta & b\eta \\ & & -z^w & y \\ & & & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where we choose $w = w(D_0)$.

The general elephant S_Y. In case (i) $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\zeta)}$ corresponding to $E_{2(k-w)}$ and in case (ii) $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ is an isomorphism.

Small central fibre. In case (i) Z is small unless either $b_0 = d_0 = 0$ (which contradicts out choice of $w = w(D_0)$) or $c_0 = d_0 = 0$, in which case Y_1 contains a new unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \nu)$. In case (ii) Z is always small.

Isolated singularities. $Q \in Y$ is the hyperquotient singularity:

$$\big(z^w + \nu(\nu + a\eta) = \xi(z\xi + c\eta)\big) \subset \mathbb{C}^4_{\xi,\nu,\eta,z} \,/\, \tfrac{1}{2}(1,1,1,0)$$

If $w > 1$ and both $a_0, c_0 = 0$ then $\mathbb{P}^1_{(\eta:\zeta)} \subseteq Z$ and Y becomes singular along this line.

Description of $C \subset S_X$. By explicitly resolving S_X and computing $\widetilde{C} \subset \widetilde{S}_X$ we see that, for $w < k$, the three possible numerical equivalence classes for C listed in Table 2 correspond to:

- $C_n + \Delta_{2w}$: $c_0, d_0 \neq 0$ (the generic case)
- $C_1 + C_n + \Delta_{2w-1}$: $c_0 = 0$ and $a_0, d_0 \neq 0$
- $C_{n-1} + \Delta_{2w+1}$: $d_0 = 0$ and $b_0, c_0 \neq 0$

In all other cases either $c_0 = d_0 = 0$ (so that Z is not small), $b_0 = d_0 = 0$ (so that $w(D_0) > w$) or $a_0 = c_0 = 0$ (so that Y has non-isolated singularities). Similarly for $w = k$ we have two cases to consider: the generic case $3C_n$, given by $c_0 \neq 0$, and a special case $C_1 + C_{n-1} + 2C_n$ given by $c_0 = 0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$.

3.2.4 Type $\boldsymbol{E}_6$

Now we assume that our curve $C \subset S_X \subset X$ is of type $\boldsymbol{E}_6$. In this case $w \leq 2$ and we split into the two subcases: (i) $w = 1$, (ii) $w = 2$.

The equations of C and Y. Our curve $C \subset X$ and $Y \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ are given by the following equations:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2 & -(cy + dz^w) \\ y & x + z^2 & ay + bz^w \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & \nu & y\xi + c\eta & -d\eta \\ & \xi & \nu + a\eta & z^{2-w}\xi - b\eta \\ & & z^w & y \\ & & & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where we choose $w = w(D_0)$.

The general elephant S_Y. In both cases $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\zeta)}$, corresponding to E_2 in case (i) and to E_5 in case (ii).

Small central fibre. In case (i) Z is small unless either $b_0 = d_0 = 0$ (contradicting $w = w(D_0)$) or $c_0 = d_0 = 0$, in which case Z contains a new unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \nu)$. In case (ii) Z is small unless either $c_0 = d_0 = 0$, in which case Z contains the unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \nu)$, or $c_0 = a_0 - d_0 = b_0 = 0$, in which case Z contains the unprojection divisor $D_2 = V(x, y, z, \nu + a\eta)$.

Isolated singularities. In case (i) $Q \in Y$ is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularity and Y must have isolated singularities. In case (ii) $Q \in Y$ is a $cA/2$ hyperquotient singularity. If both $a_0, c_0 = 0$ then $Z \supseteq \mathbb{P}^1_{(\eta:\zeta)}$ and Y becomes singular along this line.

Description of $C \subset S_X$. By explicitly resolving the E_6 Du Val singularity we see that, for case (i), the two numerical types of Table 2 are given by (i.a) $C_5 + C_6$ if $d_0 \neq 0$ and (i.b) $C_1 + C_4$ if $d_0 = 0$ and both $b_0, c_0 \neq 0$.

Similarly for case (ii) the three numerical types are given by (ii.a) $C_1 + 2C_5$ if $c_0 \neq 0$, (ii.b) $C_3 + C_5$ if $c_0 = 0$ and all of $a_0, a_0 - d_0, d_0 \neq 0$ and (ii.c) $2C_4$ if $c_0 = a_0 - d_0 = 0$ and $b_0, d_0 \neq 0$.

In case (ii) a fourth numerical type is possible, given by $C_5 + 2C_6$ if $a_0 = c_0 = 0$ and $d_0 \neq 0$. The central fibre of Y is small for this choice of C, so that Y is a divisorial extraction, however Y has non-isolated singularities.

3.2.5 Type E_7

We assume that $C \subset S_X \subset X$ is of type $\boldsymbol{E_7}$. In this case $w \leq 3$ and we split into the subcases: (i) $w = 1$, (ii) $w = 2$, (iii) $w = 3$.

The equations of C and Y. Our curve $C \subset X$ and $Y \subset X \times \mathbb{P}(1,1,1,2)$ are given by the following equations:

$$\bigwedge^2 \begin{pmatrix} x & y^2 + z^3 & -(cy + dz^w) \\ y & x & ay + bz^w \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathrm{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} \zeta & \nu & y\xi + c\eta & z^{3-w}\xi + d\eta \\ & \xi & \nu + a\eta & b\eta \\ & & -z^w & y \\ & & & x \end{pmatrix}$$

where we choose $w = w(D_0)$.

The general elephant S_Y. In cases (i) and (ii) $\mathrm{exc}(\sigma|_{S_Y}) = \mathbb{P}^1_{(\xi:\zeta)}$ corresponding to E_5 in case (i) and to E_1 in case (ii). In case (iii) $\sigma|_{S_Y}$ is an isomorphism.

Small central fibre. In cases (i) and (ii) Z is small unless either $b_0 = d_0 = 0$ (contradicting $w = w(D_0)$) or $c_0 = d_0 = 0$, in which case Z contains an unprojection divisor $D_1 = V(x, y, z, \nu)$. In case (iii) Z is always small.

Isolated singularities. In case (i) $Q \in Y$ is a $\frac{1}{2}(1,1,1)$ singularity and Y must have isolated singularities. In case (ii) $Q \in Y$ is a hyperquotient singularity. If both $a_0, c_0 = 0$ then $Z \supseteq \mathbb{P}^1_{(\eta:\zeta)}$ and Y becomes singular along this line.

Description of $C \subset S_X$. By explicitly resolving the E_7 Du Val singularity we see that the two possible numerical types for case (i) listed in Table 2 are given by (i.a) $C_1 + C_6$ if $d_0 \neq 0$ and (i.b) C_4 if $d_0 = 0$ and $b_0, c_0 \neq 0$. The two types in case (ii) are given by (ii.a) $C_5 + C_6$ if $c_0 \neq 0$ and (ii.b) $C_2 + C_6$ if $c_0 = 0$ and $a_0, d_0 \neq 0$. Lastly, the two types in case (iii) are given by (iii.a) $3C_6$ if $c_0 \neq 0$ and (iii.b) $2C_6 + C_7$ if $c_0 = 0$ and $a_0 \neq 0$.

A third numerical type is possible in case (ii), given by $2C_1 + C_6$ if $a_0 = c_0 = 0$ and $d_0 \neq 0$. The central fibre of Y is small in this case, so Y is a divisorial extraction, however Y has non-isolated singularities.

3.3 Concluding remarks.

In the $\boldsymbol{E}_6$ and $\boldsymbol{E}_7$ cases it is possible to take these calculations further and exhaust all of the possible cases by repeated serial unprojection. In both cases all Mori extractions exist in relative codimension ≤ 5 and the number of additional numerical types to consider is at most 12 for type $\boldsymbol{E}_6$ and at most 5 for type $\boldsymbol{E}_7$.

References

[1] S. Cutkosky, Elementary contractions of Gorenstein threefolds. *Math. Ann.* **280**(3) (1988), 521–525.

[2] T. Ducat, Divisorial extractions from singular curves in smooth 3-folds. *Int. J Math.*, **27**, Issue 01 (2016), 23 pp.

[3] T. Ducat, Mori extractions from singular curves in a smooth 3-fold. PhD thesis, University of Warwick (2015), `http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/73056/`, 126 pp.

[4] T. Ducat, Constructing $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano 3-folds à la Prokhorov & Reid. In preparation.

[5] S. Papadakis and M. Reid, Kustin-Miller unprojection without complexes. *J. Algebraic Geom.* **13** (2004), 563–577.

[6] M. Reid, Minimal models of canonical threefolds, in *Algebraic Varieties and Analytic Varieties (Tokyo, 1981)*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., **1**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983, 131–180.

[7] Y. Prokhorov and M. Reid, On Q-Fano threefolds of Fano index 2, in *Minimal Models and Extremal Rays (Kyoto 2011)*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math., **70**, 2016, 397–420

[8] M. Reid, Graded rings and birational geometry, in Proc. of algebraic geometry symposium (Kinosaki, Oct 2000), K. Ohno (Ed.), 1–72.